



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/623,303	12/14/2000	Gerard O'Neill	206441	2665	
7590 03/10/2004			EXAMINER		
Leydig Voit &	Mayer	TRAN, PABLO N			
Two Prudential	Plaza				
Suite 4900		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
180 North Stetson			2685		
Chicago, IL 6	0601-6780	DATE MAILED: 03/10/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-pm

Office Action Summary			cation No.	Applicant(s)				
			3,303	O'NEILL ET AL.				
			iner	Art Unit				
			N Tran	2685				
The Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this community	nication appears on	the cover sheet with the	e correspondence addre	ss			
THE MAILII  - Extensions of after SIX (6) I  - If the period f  - If NO period f  - Failure to rep Any reply rec	NED STATUTORY PERIOD F NG DATE OF THIS COMMUN time may be available under the provision MONTHS from the mailing date of this com or reply specified above is less than thirty ( or reply is specified above, the maximum s by within the set or extended period for repl eived by the Office later than three months t term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply a y will, by statute, cause the	o event, however, may a reply be statutory minimum of thirty (30) and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr e application to become ABANDO	e timely filed  days will be considered timely, om the mailing date of this comm  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status								
1)⊠ Resp	onsive to communication(s) fil	ed on 16 Decembe	er 2003.					
	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of	Claims							
4a) Of 5)	f the above claim(s) is/a  is/are pending in the f the above claim(s) is/a  is/are allowed.  is(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.  is(s) is/are objected to.  is(s) are subject to restri	are withdrawn from						
Application Pa	pers							
9)∏ The s	pecification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	cement drawing sheet(s) including ath or declaration is objected t							
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachment(s)	`							
1) Notice of Ref	ferences Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summa	ary (PTO-413)				
	oftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date Il Patent Application (PTO-15.	2)			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/623,303

Art Unit: 2685

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-25 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 2. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/623,303

Art Unit: 2685

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-3, 6-11, 13-16, and 19-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Gietema et al.* (6,222,503).

As per claims 1, 6, and 19, *Gietema et al.* disclosed an enclosure (fig. 1/no. 5) for use with an item of street furniture (fig. 1/no. 6, col. 4/ln. 28-60), the enclosure containing circuitry of a base station of a cellular telephone system, the circuitry receiving signals from and supplying signals to the antenna system (col. 12/ln.15-27).

Gietema et al. disclosed that part of the circuitry of the base station being common to part of the further circuitry but do not explicitly disclosed that the further circuitry for controlling an item of the street furniture. However, it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide such control circuitry housing to control both the base station communication functions and of an item of street furniture in order to save space and cost.

As per claims 2, 7, and 20, *Gietema et al.* disclosed the common part of the circuitry includes power supply circuitry (col. 12/ln.15-27).

As per claims 3, 8, and 21, *Gietema et al.* disclosed the common part of the circuitry includes communications signaling circuitry and functioning circuitry for the item of street furniture (col. 12/ln.15-27).

As per claims 9 and 22, *Gietema et al.* disclosed the street furniture is associated with but physical separate from the enclosure (fig. 1).

Application/Control Number: 09/623,303

Art Unit: 2685

As per claims 10 and 23, *Gietema et al.* disclosed the street furniture is physically combined with the enclosure (fig. 1).

As per claims 11 and 13-15, *Gietema et al.* disclosed the street furniture is a light pole, a shop sign, an advertising sign, or a traffic sign (col. 4/ln. 28-60),

As per claim 16, *Gietema et al.* disclosed a drainpipe or simulated drainpipe for mounting on an external surface of a building (col. 21/ln. 15-34).

5. Claims 4-5, 17, and 24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Gietema et al.* (6,222,503) in view of *Inoue et al.* (5,667,963).

As per claim 4-5, 17, and 24-25, *Gietema et al.* fails to disclose a cooling or ventilating means for controlling the ambient temperature there within. However, such cooling or ventilating means are well known in the art, as teach by *Inoue et al.* (see fig. 4, col. 5/ln. 4-10). Since both disclosed such street light devices, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide such cooling or ventilating means, as taught by *Inoue et al.*, to the street light system of *Goodwin* in order to control the heat from within the street light in order for the antenna circuitry and other circuitries function properly.

6. Claims 12 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Gietema et al.* (6,222,503) in view of *Foissac et al.* (4,656,804).

As per claims 12 and 18, as stated above in claim 1, *Gietema et al.* do not specifically disclosed the street furniture is a camera. However, such is notoriously well known in the art, as taught by *Foissac et al.* (col. 1/ln. 31-34). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a camera, as taught by *Foissac* 

Application/Control Number: 09/623,303

Art Unit: 2685

et al., to the unobtrusive antenna system of Gietema et al. in order to monitor roads and public areas.

#### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Wilkinson et al. (6,380,909), Davidsson et al. (6,173,537), Binge et al. (4,864,784), and Jen et al. (WO0175849) disclosed antenna arrangement system.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pablo Tran whose telephone number is (703)308-7941. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban, can be reached at (703)305-4385.

## Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

#### or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Art Unit: 2685

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

PABLO N.TRAN PRIMARY EXAMINER February 25, 2004

Morosi